

Mine Tailings Disclosure Table

x	2. Location	3. Ownership	4. Status	5. Date of initial operation	6. Is the Dam currently operated or closed as per currently approved design?	7. Raising method	8. Current Maximum Height (m)	9. Current Tailings Storage Impoundment Volume (m ³) (Volume of tailings currently stored)	10. Planned Tailings Storage Impoundment Volume in 5 years time.	11. Most recent Independent Expert Review	12. Do you have full and complete relevant engineering records including design, construction, operation, maintenance and/or closure.	13. What is your hazard categorisation of this facility, based on consequence of failure?	14. What guideline do you follow for the classification system?	15. Has this facility, at any point in its history, failed to be confirmed or certified as stable, or experienced notable stability concerns, as identified by an independent engineer (even if later certified as stable by the same or a different firm).	16. Do you have internal/in house engineering specialist oversight of this facility? Or do you have external engineering support for this purpose?	17. Has a formal analysis of the downstream impact on communities, ecosystems and critical infrastructure in the event of catastrophic failure been undertaken and to reflect final conditions? If so, when did this assessment take place?	18. Is there a) a closure plan in place for this dam, and b) does it include long term monitoring?	19. Have you, or do you plan to assess your tailings facilities against the impact of more regular extreme weather events as a result of climate change, e.g. over the next two years?	20. Any other relevant information and supporting documentation. Please state if you have omitted any other exposure to tailings facilities through any joint ventures you may have.	
Goswong	TSF 1	1° 7'14.34"N 127° 42'58.24"E (Google Earth)	PT Nusa Halmahera Minerals (75% Newcrest)	Active	1999	Yes	Raise 1 - Centreline 4m lift Raise 2 - Downstream 4.5m lift Raise 3 - Upstream 5m lift	37m	6,150,000	6,150,000	2018 GHD - Audit 2019 Hatch - Material Business Interruption Risk Study 2019 KCB - Tailings management framework audit (Currently in progress)	Yes	High C	ANCOLD 2012	No	External only	2018	a) Yes b) No	Yes	Closure plan is in draft format and in the process of review and completion.
	WEX	1° 7'3.67"N 127° 42'45.03"E (Google Earth)	PT Nusa Halmahera Minerals (75% Newcrest)	Active	2016	Yes	Raise 1 - Downstream	30m	1,250,000	2,560,000	2018 GHD - Audit 2018 Coffey - Comprehensive Review 2019 Hatch - Material Business Interruption Risk Study 2019 KCB - Tailings management framework audit (Currently in progress)	Yes	High C	ANCOLD 2012	No	External only	2018	a) Yes b) No	Yes	
Cadia	NTSF	33° 29' 51.21"S 148° 0'18.40"E (Google Earth)	Cadia Holdings Pty Ltd (100% Newcrest)	Inactive / Care and Maintenance	1998	No	Raise 1, 2, 3 - Downstream Raise 4 - Centreline Raise 5 to 10 - Upstream	91m	180,000,000	180,000,000	2016 GHD - Audit 2019 - Independent Technical Review Board 2020 Hatch - Material Business Interruption Risk Project (in draft)	Yes	Significant	ANCOLD 2012	Yes	External only	2017	a) Yes b) No	Yes	The southern embankment experienced a slump in March 2018, causing it to lose containment of tailings from part of the NTSF. The slump did not result in any injuries or environmental damage as the tailings released were fully captured in the abutting STSF.
	STSF	33° 30' 52.31"S 148° 59'56.44"E (Google Earth)	Cadia Holdings Pty Ltd (100% Newcrest)	Active	2001	Yes	Raise 1,2 - Downstream Raise 3 - Centreline Raise 4 to 6 - Upstream	73m	95,000,000	125,000,000	2016 GHD - Audit 2019 Hatch - Design review 2019 KCB - Tailings management framework audit (Currently in progress) 2020 Hatch - Material Business Interruption Risk Study (in draft)	Yes	High B	ANCOLD 2012	No	External only	2017	a) Yes b) No	Yes	
	Open Pit	33° 27' 24.56" S 148° 59' 53.44" E (Google Earth)	Cadia Holdings Pty Ltd (100% Newcrest)	Active	2018	Yes	Mining void storage - open pit	N/A	13,500,000	88,500,000	KCB 2019 tailings management framework audit (Currently in progress)	Yes	N/A	ANCOLD 2012	No	External Only	N/A	a) Yes b) No	Yes	
Telfer	TSF7	21°45'34.33"S 122°14'14.67"E (Google Earth)	Newcrest Operations Limited (100% Newcrest)	Active	2004	Yes	Raise 1 to 3 - Downstream (RL 5495 to RL 5505) Raise 4 to 11 - Upstream (RL 5505 to RL 5585.5)	57m	194,260,000	250,000,000	2019 KCB - Audit 2019 - KCB Material Business Interruption Risk Study	Yes	High C	ANCOLD 2012	No	External Only	2017	a) Yes b) No	Yes	
	TSF 1-3	21° 42' 08.05" S 122° 12' 11.33" N (Google Earth)	Newcrest Operations Limited (100% Newcrest)	Inactive / Care and Maintenance - rehabilitated	1990	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	2016 Coffey - Audit and Review	Unknown	No Data	WA DMP (DMIRS)	No	External Only	Unknown	a) Yes b) NA	Yes	
	TSF 4	21° 42' 08.05" S 122° 12' 11.33" N (Google Earth)	Newcrest Operations Limited (100% Newcrest)	Inactive / Care and Maintenance	1990	No	Upstream	20m	1,200,000	1,200,000	2016 Coffey - Audit and Review	Unknown	Low	WA DMP (DMIRS)	No	External Only	Unknown	a) Yes b) NA	Yes	
	TSF 5	21° 42' 08.05" S 122° 12' 11.33" N (Google Earth)	Newcrest Operations Limited (100% Newcrest)	Inactive / Care and Maintenance	1990	No	Upstream	27m	6,200,000	6,200,000	2016 Coffey - Audit and Review	Unknown	Low	WA DMP (DMIRS)	No	External Only	Unknown	a) Yes b) NA	Yes	
	TSF 6	57° 44' 07.87" N 129° 43' 01.88" W (Google Earth)	Newcrest Operations Limited (100% Newcrest)	Inactive / Care and Maintenance	1990	No	Upstream	21m	5,400,000	5,400,000	2016 Coffey - Audit and Review	Unknown	Low	WA DMP (DMIRS)	No	External Only	Unknown	a) Yes b) NA	Yes	
Red Chris	TSF	57° 44' 07.87" N 129° 43' 01.88" W (Google Earth)	Newcrest Red Chris Mining Limited (70% Newcrest)	Active	2013	Yes	Centreline	60.2m	38,446,000	42,115,000	2019 - Golder DSR 2019 (draft)	Yes	Very High	MAC	No	External Only	2015	a) Yes b) No	Yes	

Cadia NTSF - design is being reviewed following slump and no finalised details on planned tailings storage for NTSF. Disposal is occurring in Pit. Telfer TSF 4,5,6 are old tailings facilities and no longer being used.

Hazard Categorisation is based on the hypothetical impact of a dam failure and is used to define increasingly regorous design criteria. It does not refer to the condition of the dam.